IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CASE NO. 8:05CR252
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
ABEL LINARES,)	
Defendant.)	

This matter is before the court on remand from the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals (Filing No. 159). The Defendant, Abel Linares, seeks to appeal from the Memorandum and Order (Filing No. 152) and Judgment (Filing No. 153) denying his Motion Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence by a Person in Federal Custody ("§ 2255 motion").

The federal rules of appellate procedure require that a defendant appealing the denial of a § 2255 motion file both a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. Fed. R. App. P. 4, 22(b). In this case, only a notice of appeal was filed. (Filing No. 154.) The Eighth Circuit remanded the case for consideration in light of *Tiedeman v. Benson*, 122 F.3d 518 (8th Cir.).

Therefore, the Court will review this matter and determine whether a certificate of appealability should issue, as a certificate of appealability is required for an appeal of the denial of a § 2255 motion. Pursuant to the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-132, 110 Stat. 1214 ("AEDPA"), the right to appeal the denial of a § 2255 motion is governed by the certificate of appealability requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c). 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) provides that a certificate of appealability may issue only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. A

"substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right" requires a demonstration "that

reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should

have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were 'adequate to

deserve encouragement to proceed further." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)

(quoting Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 893 n.4 (1983)).

For the reasons thoroughly discussed in the Court's previously issued Memorandum

and Order (Filing No. 152) denying the Defendant's § 2255 motion, the Court concludes

that the Defendant has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional

right as required by 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c).

IT IS ORDERED:

1. A certificate of appealability shall not be issued in this case;

2. The Clerk of Court shall provide a copy of this Order to the Eighth Circuit

Court of Appeals; and

3. A copy of this Order shall be mailed to the Defendant at his last known

address.

DATED this 2nd day of August, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp

United States District Judge

2